	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/626,274	GANORKAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrea E. Dorigo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 May 2007	Time: <u>3.00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-25		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
·		•
O Nam		,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with Andrea E Dorigo in regards to the status of application 10/626,274, a response was due on 3/15/2007, since Mr. Dorigo was not in the office the examiner left a message. Mr. Dorigo called the examiner back on the following day and expressed by telephone messeage the desire of applicants to abandon this case.